

REMARKS

First, Applicants thank the Examiner for conducting an interview with Applicants' representatives. A Statement of Summary of Interview is enclosed herewith.

Claims 1 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as allegedly not being enabled. Claims 1 and 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as allegedly being anticipated by Carlsen (U.S. Patent No. 5,509,062). Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Carlsen in view of Wu (U.S. Patent No. 6,442,266).

§ 112, first paragraph, Rejections - Claims 1 and 11 and 12

Claims 1, 11, and 12 are rejected for the reasons set forth on pages 2-3 of the present Office Action. Applicants believe that one of ordinary skill in the art would understand that “real-time” information is dynamically collected, as recited in claim 1, and as implied in the specification. That is, even though the specific words “real-time” and “dynamically” are not used, one of ordinary skill in the art would understand that these terms and the claims they constitute are enabled. *See arguments set forth in previous Amendments.*

However, in an effort to advance prosecution and for clarification purposes, Applicants amend claims 1 and 12, as indicated herein. Applicants believe the rejections of claims 1 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, are obviated.

Claim 11 is canceled, as indicated herein, without prejudice or disclaimer.

§ 102(b) Rejections (Carlsen) - Claims 1 and 6-11

With respect to independent claim 1, Applicants amend this claim, as indicated herein, and submit that Carlsen does not disclose or suggest at least, “wherein said routing information is

generated only after entering said identification code at the caller's terminal and before one of activating the telecommunication and establishing a telecommunication link," as recited in amended claim 1. That is, Carlsen simply shows that information is collected and stored at respective intelligent terminals, and then, later, a number is stored at an intelligent terminal. Differently, the present invention states that the operation of collecting routing information from the telecommunication system is initiated only after entering said identification code at the caller's terminal and before one of activating the telecommunication and establishing a telecommunication link. At least based on the foregoing, Applicants submit that Carlsen does not anticipate the subject matter of claim 1.

Applicants submit that dependent claims 6 and 8-10 are patentable at least by virtue of their indirect or direct dependencies from independent claim 1.

With respect to claim 7, Applicants amend this claim, and, at least based on the discussion with the Examiner during the interview, Applicants believe that this claim is now in condition for allowance. During the interview, the Examiner indicated that claim 7 may be allowable if amended, as indicated herein.

§ 103(a) Rejections (Carlsen/Woo) - Claims 2-5

Applicants submit that claims 2-5 are patentable at least by virtue of their dependencies from independent claim 1. Wu does not make up for the deficiencies of Carlsen.

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111
U.S. SERIAL NO. 10/699,800

Atty. Docket No. Q78086

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.


Respectfully submitted,

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER


Diallo T. Crenshaw
Registration No. 52,778

Date: October 5, 2006